



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,503	08/24/2000	John D. Kutzko	SMG200A1	3140
759	05/03/2004		EXAMI	NER
Intellectual Property Law Offices			JARRETT, RYAN A	
Weiner & Burt PC 635 N US 23 P O Box 186 Harrisville, MI 48740			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Control	09/644,503	KUTZKO ET AL.
" Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ryan A. Jarrett	2125
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be sply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.	August 2000	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	ın.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawith the application is a second state of the above claim is a second state		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	S Hom consideration,	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner	
<u> </u>	cepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	un priority under 25 U.S.A. S.440	(a) (d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(u) 01 (1).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		ation No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	• •	<del></del>
application from the International Burea		in and manorial otage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	* **	ived.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Patent and Trademark Office	6)	
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 4

Art Unit: 2125

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 4-6, 9, 14, 23, 24, 26, 30, 38, 39, 41, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Referring to claims 4, 9, 14, 23, 26, 30, 38, 41, and 45, which represent the numerical surrogate markers embodiment of the invention, it is not clear where the limitation "the percent of individual patient response multiplied by a response factor" is enabled by the equation in the specification. In other words, in the numerical surrogate markers equation on page 6 of the Applicant's specification, it is unclear what term in the equation represents the "percent of individual patient response", and what term in the equation represents the "response factor".

Claims 5, 6, 24, and 39 depend from claims 4, 23, and 38 and therefore incorporate the same deficiencies.

Art Unit: 2125

### **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of McMichael U.S. Patent No. 6,267,116. The claims of McMichael are directed to a method of calculating a revised dose of a drug for a patient, whereas the claims of the present application are directed to a method of calculating a revised dose of an anticoagulant, such as Coumadin® or warfarin. The method steps for both

Art Unit: 2125

sets of claims is the same, the only difference being that the claims of the present application specifically recite that the drug is an anticoagulant, such as Coumadin® or warfarin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an anticoagulant, such as Coumadin® or warfarin, in the method of McMichael. It would have been obvious to use any type of drug in the method of McMichael as long as the effect of the drug in the patient were capable of being measured using surrogate markers. It is well known that the effects of anticoagulants in a patient can be detected by measuring levels of surrogate markers in the patient's blood. Thus, there would have been a reasonable expectation of success that the use of anticoagulants, such as Coumadin® or warfarin, could be incorporated into the method of McMichael.

## Claim Objections

5. Claims 5 and 18 objected to because of the following informalities: the limitation "D = DDNM - PDNM" should be changed to "D = DANM - PANM". Appropriate correction is required.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan A. Jarrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-4739. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The fax

Art Unit: 2125

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan A. Jarrett Examiner Art Unit 2125

4/29/04

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

L-P.P.